

**The Order of the Court is stated below:**



E# 3325978 PG 1 OF 6

LEANN H KILTS, WEBER CTY. RECORDER  
17-MAY-24 905 AM FEE \$40.00 THU  
REC FOR: HOUSE

PCV

th

PCV

RED LAW PLLC

Trevor D. Osborn #14742  
2650 Washington Blvd. #201  
Ogden, Utah 84401  
Telephone: (801) 477-0733  
Email: [trevor@redlawutah.com](mailto:trevor@redlawutah.com)

*Attorney for Trisha House*

**PRIVATE RECORD**



\*W3325978\*

**IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR  
WEBER COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH**

<p><b>In the matter of the marriage of:</b> <b>TRISHA HOUSE,</b> Petitioner, and <b>CARL BRENT LEE HOUSE,</b> Respondent.</p>	<p><b>ORDER ON FEB. 06, 2024</b> <b>CONTEMPT HEARING</b>  Case No.: 184900909  Judge: Joseph Bean Commissioner: Christina Wilson</p>
---	--

THIS MATTER came before the Court on Petitioner's *Motion to Enforce* on February 06, 2024 at 9:30am before the honorable Christina Wilson, domestic relations court commissioner. Petitioner ("Trisha") was present and represented by her counsel of record and Respondent ("Carl") was present acting pro se. Having heard the arguments and representations of the parties, having reviewed the evidence, and being fully advised in the matter, the Court makes the following determinations and ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. **Parent-Teacher Conferences.** The Court finds that ¶ 9 of the *Decree* is clear and requires Carl to attend parent-teacher conferences. Trisha provided notice and Carl did not attend. Carl has not shown good cause why he didn't attend. Accordingly, the Court finds Carl in contempt. Carl may purge his contempt by attending future parent-teacher conferences.

2. **Right of First Refusal.** Paragraph 11 of the Decree is clear and requires that the parties provide the other the right of first refusal if they obtain daycare or babysitting of the child. There were at least two instances when Carl was out of town and did not provide Trisha the opportunity to exercise the right of first refusal. Accordingly, the Court finds Carl in contempt. Carl may purge his contempt by allowing Trisha to exercise the right of first refusal whenever he is not present with the child.

3. **Parent-Time and Custody.** Paragraphs 5-6 of the Decree are clear that Trisha is awarded primary custody and Carl is entitled to one weekday visit each week. Carl violated the Decree by taking the child out of school and to the Burning Man concert without Trisha's permission. Accordingly, Carl is held in contempt. He may purge his contempt by completing a 12-hour high-conflict parenting course located here

<https://www.onlineparentingprograms.com/online-classes/high-conflict-co-parenting-class.html>  
and filing his certificate of completion by April 8, 2024.

4. **Child Support.** Paragraphs 13-14 of the Decree sets forth Carl's clear child support obligation. The evidence presented shows that ORS calculated unpaid child support at \$1,181.69 as of the date the *Motion to Enforce* was filed. It is also undisputed that Carl owed \$415 per

month since the *Motion* was filed and there have only been minimal payments since. Carl will be permitted to file a spreadsheet and evidence of proof of payments by February 20, 2024.

5. Having reviewed the evidence presented, the Court awards a judgment in the amount of \$ 1596.69 for unpaid child support.

6. **Medical Expenses.** The Decree, ¶ 17, provides that both parties shall share equally in the child's costs for uncovered medical expenses. Trisha provided Carl notice of medical expenses incurred for the child and Carl failed to pay for such expenses. After crediting Carl's \$2,000 payment he identified in his *Opposition*, Carl has \$2,215.85 remaining. Accordingly, Carl is in contempt and Trisha is awarded a judgment against Carl for \$2,215.85 for his portion of unpaid medical expenses for the child. If possible, ORS shall collect this amount of unpaid medical expenses directly through Carl; if ORS cannot collect this amount, Carl may purge his contempt by paying Trisha \$2,215.85 by April 8, 2024.

7. **Harassment.** Paragraph 47 of the Decree restrains the parties from harassing the other. Carl's messages are clearly harassing, at a minimum, and are harmful to Trisha. Carl knew of the Order, had the ability to comply with it, but failed to do so. Accordingly, Carl is in contempt. He may purge his contempt by having no further violations of the communication provisions between the parties and completing the parenting course identified above.

8. **Parenting App.** The parties shall select a parenting app to communicate through. They shall also list the child's significant events in the calendar section of that co-parenting app. If the parties have not decided on a co-parenting app by February 21, 2024, Trisha shall send two app

suggestions to Carl and Carl shall choose between the two. All communications between the parties shall take place in this app except in cases of emergency.

9. **Sanctions.** Trisha has substantially prevailed in her claims against Carl. Having reviewed the attorney fee affidavit on file, the Court awards Trisha \$2586.00 in attorney fees. Carl shall pay this amount directly to Trisha within 30 days of the date this Order is signed.

\*\*\*\*END OF ORDER\*\*\*\*

**JUDGE'S ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE APPEARS AT THE TOP  
OF THE FIRST PAGE OF THIS DOCUMENT**

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

---

Carl House  
*Respondent*

**RULE 7(j) NOTICE TO PARTIES**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this Order will be submitted to the judge and/or Commissioner for signature upon the expiration of seven days from the date of this notice, pursuant to Rule 7(j) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.

# Judgment Information Statement

(Utah Code 78B-5-201.) (This document is not filed with the court. It is filed with the county recorder.)

My name is Trisha House and I am  
the judgment creditor in the following court case:

Case name (Example: Party v. Party)	Trisha House v. Carl Brent Lee House
Case number	184900909
Court name and county (Example: Third District Court, Tooele County)	Second Judicial District Court weber county

I provide the following information in compliance with Utah Code Section 78B-5-201.

1. The correct name of the judgment debtor is

# Carl Brent Lee House

2. The correct last known address of the judgment debtor is:

1477 Harrop St, Ogden, Ut 84404

3. The address at which the judgment debtor received service of process is:

**4. The judgment debtor is (choose one):**

a natural person, and (if known)

Last four digits of Social Security Number	4-5-1985
Date of birth	4-5-1985
Driver license number	

[ ] is not a natural person (For example, a business.).

5. The name of the judgment creditor is: Trisha House.

6. The amount of the judgment is: \$ 4,801.85.

7. The judgment was entered on: 2-23-2024 (date).

8. The judgment (Choose one.):

has been stayed and the stay expires on \_\_\_\_\_ (date).  
 has not been stayed.

9. The judgment creditor has reviewed their records, the records of their attorney (if there is one), and the records of the court in which the judgment was entered. Any information required by law but not provided by this statement is unknown and unavailable. (Utah Code 78B-5-201.)

### **Plaintiff/Petitioner or Defendant/Respondent**

I declare under criminal penalty under the law of Utah that everything stated in this document is true.

Signed at \_\_\_\_\_ (city, and state or country).

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Signature ► Trisha House \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name Trisha House \_\_\_\_\_

### **Attorney or Licensed Paralegal Practitioner of record (if applicable)**

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Signature ► \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name \_\_\_\_\_